Calorimetry: the art of compromises

CALOR 2000 Annecy



B. Mansoulié

CEA / Saclay FRANCE

Defining a calorimeter

Array of identical absorbing detectors. Single particle measurement Simultaneous information on :

Energy Position / direction Time Particle type

Moderate/good resolutions Large acceptance Limited volume Stability



Spectrometer:

High resolution in one variable low acceptance bulky

The calorimeter invasion



XQC : 4 mm x 9 mm 20 to 1000 eV



KTeV CsI : 2mx2m 2 to 100 GeV New territories: photon detectors

High energy physics : done

Astrophysics: spectrometers -> calorimeters

Low and medium energy photons : new detection schemes



Example: Astrophysics X-ray detectors :

Photon detection 200 eV to 10 keV grazing incidence telescope: big aperture

Present focal plane : grating + CCD (ex XMM, launched Dec 1999)



Next generation X-ray detectors: Cryogenic microcalorimeters : almost same resolution, (without grating) with pixel imaging.

First attempts: XQC (balloon),

XRS on ASTRO-E [:(failed launch]

Future : Constellation-X



Best lab resolution: 0.16 % at 1.5 keV



HgTe absorbers, 65 mK

12 eV @ 6 keV

Same stream of technology as the bolometers for WIMPS

Transition Edge Sensor

Another recent field : room-temperature semiconductor X and gamma detectors

10 keV to 1 MeV : Medical imaging , astrophysics, material analysis Usually: difficult choice between imaging (position) and E-resolution Many techniques used (film, xenon gas, ceramic scintillator screens, CsI needles, ...)

Good stopping power Good E-resolution Pixellized Solid-state Recent devices : GaAs or CdTe pixel arrays a real X-ray calorimeter

using HEP pixel technology

Example of pixel X-ray photon counters

GaAs 64x64 pixels (170m)²

bump-bonded to MEDIPIX chip (CERN+INFN)





Alenia Detector 600 μm thick 170 μm pixel size



CALOR 2000, Annecy.

Photon calorimeters : the full range

Which energy resolution is needed?

Visible, UV X	<i>Spectral lines</i> Atomic Nuclear	Competition with dispersive spectrometers
Gamma	Imaging	Background reduction Differential imaging
Hard gamma astrophysics	Continuum sources:	Prefer angular resolution
Particle physics	Narrow states	p ⁰ , K ⁰ , B-physics
Loss stringont of hi	ah anaray colliders . I FD	C(7) = 2.70/

Less stringent at high energy colliders : LEP , G(Z) = 2.7%

Exception : LHC:

G(H) < 1% for $M_{\rm H}$ < 270 GeV

CALOR 2000, Annecy.

Example : Integral satellite

30keV to 10 MeV photons

SPI = 19 big Ge(Li) for finest energy resolution (2keV @ 1 MeV)

IBIS imager = CdTe plane 128x128 pixels in $(60 \text{ cm})^2$ + CsI plane 64x64 " "



CALOR 2000, Annecy.

B.Mansoulié

Angular resolution on photon measurements

Of course crucial for astronomy

Low energy: telescope => angular = position resolution at focal plane

Visible : fraction of arcsec ; low-energy X : ~10 arcsec (XMM)

Hi-X, low gammas : coded mask :

~ 10 min (Integral)



Beyond 10 MeV : gamma conversion

Directly opposing the compactness of calorimeters!

Limited at low range by multiple scattering

CALOR 2000, Annecy.

Photon direction measurement by calorimeters



GLAST satellite: conversion tracker (Si strips)+ CsI calorimeter

ATLAS e-m calorimeter segmentation for Higgs -> **gg**

CALOR 2000, Annecy.

...Photon direction by calorimeters



Also interested: K0PI0 exp^t at BNL (CP violation from K⁰-> **p**⁰ **m**, BR = 2 10⁻¹¹) K⁰ vertex reconstruction: **g**from 200 to 500 MeV: 60 preshower planes (!) in 1.5 m resolution similar to GLAST.

CALOR 2000, Annecy.

Granularity: acceptance vs pixel size

- position resolution, imaging capability
- background rejection, particle identification

At low energy: impact size small => limited by the number of channels Power consumption (space applications) Cost Trade-off with homogeneity

At high energy: usual transverse scale : Molière radius = a few cm But much more info available.

> =>preshowers, special segment : NOMAD, D0, CDF, CMS, ATLAS,... fine granularity in depth ALEPH, DELPHI Tungsten/Si project at future linear collider?

CALOR 2000, Annecy.

Homogeneity with many channels ?

Carrier production and collection

Photons (crystals): several %

```
Charges (semicond, ionization)
often less than 1%
```

(Phonons (mcalos) ??)

Part is generic. rule of thumb: keep simple



Strong dependence on available physics signals for calibration Sources, on-line beams, masses (\mathbf{p}^0 to \mathbf{Z}^0)

CALOR 2000, Annecy.

Particle identification and isolation

Low energy: identification inside one pixel => Pulse Shape Discrimination



B.Mansoulié

I dentification, isolation...

High energy : Shower shape, segmentation, preshowers



CALOR 2000, Annecy.

ATLAS em **p**⁰ rejection

(simulated)

B.Mansoulié

Calorimetry at LHC: maximum constraints

- Collider => restricted space
- Large number of hits => small granularity 200000 channels
- 40 MHz repetition rate => fast signals ~ 50 ns peak
- Very demanding physics
 - . large dynamic range : 1 GeV e tag (B physics) to 3 TeV (Z')
 - . ~ 1% E-resolution (Higgs -> gg, Higgs -> 4 leptons)
 - . ~ 10 mrad **q** resolution "
 - . > 1000 jet rejection

66

- High radiation flux
- One good feature only : 1 to 10 Z⁰/second for calibration

Difficult to find a good compromise !

ATLAS and CMS e-m calorimeters

Each coming from a well-known line of techniques, but pushing it to the extreme in many aspects.

ATLAS: Liquid Argon [H1, D0, SLD, NA48...]

Ex : H1 , 45000 channels, peak time: 2.4 ms ; ATLAS , 184000 channels, 50 ns

CMS : Crystals [..., L3, KTeV, BaBar...]

Ex L3 : 11000 crystals, peak time 1.1 **ms**, 1 % homogeneity CMS: 83000 crystals, peak time 50 ns, 0.4 % homogeneity

=> Difficulties with series production processes.

Technologies far from the "market"

The special case of LEP

LEP : an e+ e- collider , without any narrow line for e-m calorimetry.

Energy resolution less crucial than granularity and *software*.

E-M and hadronic calorimetries intricated. BIG use of kinematical fits

Low central detector occupancy => fine reconstruction of energy pattern Charged particle removal, identification of neutral hadrons etc...

Interesting questions: the future e+e-

"Imaging calorimeter":

Tungsten absorbers, 1cm² Si pads

Continuous e-m/hadronic calorimetry

Main arguments, based on LEP experience:

ENERGY FLOW, particle ID.



Calorimeter for the future e⁺e⁻ collider ...

Real trend or fantasy?

- LEP: Role of hardware/software in ultimate E-flow resolution?
- 40 10⁶ channels: dream or nightmare?
- Sensors/read-out: how close to the market?



gcharged separation in t physics

Conclusion

Trend 1: detectors go to compact, do-everything devices, i.e. calorimeters.

Trend 2: a certain tendency towards solid-state, and inside this towards semiconductors

Trend 3: techniques (read-out, software) go across fields (probably not enough)

Not yet a trend, but should become one:

for large detectors, keep close to the market. (research alone is now a small player)

A lot to learn by looking at neighbor fields: have a good conference!