

# DØ Calorimeter Electronics Upgrade for Tevatron Run II

### Leslie Groer

Columbia University New York



October 11, 2000

#### CALOR2000

IX International Conference on Calorimetry in Particle Physics

Annecy, France October 9-14, 2000



e sli

CALOR2000 Conference DØ Calorimeter Electronics Upgrade Annecy, France Oct 2000



## Tevatron Run I (1992-96)

- Very successful Run I
  - p-pbar collisions at s = 1.8 TeV
  - ↓ L dt ~ 120 pb<sup>-1</sup> delivered to DØ and CDF
  - Peak luminosity ~ 1.6 x 10<sup>31</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>
  - Many exciting studies, including
    - ▲ Top discovery
      - M<sub>t</sub> = 172.1  $\pm$  5.2 (stat.)  $\pm$  4.9 (syst.) GeV/c²
      - $\sigma_{tt}$  = 5.9  $\pm$  1.7 pb (DØ combined)
    - ▲ W mass measurement
      - M<sub>w</sub> = 80.482 ± 0.091 GeV (DØ combined)
    - ▲ Limits on anomolous gauge couplings
    - Limits on SUSY, LQ, compositeness, other exotica
    - ▲ Tests of QCD + Electroweak
    - ▲ b-quark physics
  - 100+ published papers
  - 60+ PhD theses



#### Fermilab Accelerator Upgrade

- Two new machines at FNAL for Run II:
  - Main Injector
    - 150 GeV conventional proton accelerator
    - Supports luminosity upgrade for the collider, future 120 GeV fixed-target program, and neutrino production for NUMI
  - Recycler
    - 8 GeV permanent magnet (monoenergetic) storage ring
    - permits antiproton recycling from the collider
- Tevatron Status and Schedule
  - DØ and CDF roll in January 2001
  - Run II start March 2001
  - 1.8 Tev → 2 TeV
  - Goal:  $\int L dt = 2 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  by 2003

15 fb<sup>-1</sup>+ by 2006?

 Very first p-pbar collisions seen (August 2000)





## Run II Parameters

Parameter	Run IB	Run II	Units
	(1993-1995)	M I plus Recycler	
Energy	900	1000	GeV
Protons/bunch	$23 \times 10^{10}$	$27 \times 10^{10}$	
P-bars / bunch	$5.5 \times 10^{10}$	$7.5 \times 10^{10}$	
Bunches	6 (	$36x36 \rightarrow 140x103$	
P-bar stacking	$6 \times 10^{10}$	$20 \times 10^{10}$	per hour
Crossing angle	0	136	µrad
Luminosity	$1.6 \times 10^{31}$	$2.1 \times 10^{32}$	$cm^{-2}s^{-1}$
Bunch Spacing	3500	$396 \rightarrow 132$	nsec
Interactions per crossing	2.7	$4.8 \rightarrow 2.3$	



Leslie Groer 4 CALOR2000 Conference Columbia University **DØ Calorimeter Electronics Upgrade** Annecy, France Oct 2000



# Run II DØ Upgrade





## **Inner Detectors**



- 840k channel silicon vertex detector
- 77k channel scintillating fiber tracker
- Scintillating strip preshower in central and forward regions. (6k and 16k channels)
- Intercryostat detector (scintillator tiles)

Leslie Groer6CALOR2000 ConferenceColumbia UniversityDØ Calorimeter Electronics Upgrade Annecy, France Oct 2000



- Central mounted on solenoid ( $|\eta| < 1.2$ )
- Forward on calorimeter endcaps (1.4 < |η| < 2.5)</li>
- Extruded triangular scintillator strips with embedded WLS fibers and Pb absorber
- Trigger on low-p<sub>T</sub> EM showers
- Reduce overall electron trigger rate by x3-5
- VLPC and SVX II readout

(Not to Scale)

 Leslie Groer
 7
 CALOR2000 Conference

 Columbia University
 DØ Calorimeter Electronics Upgrade
 Annecy, France Oct 2000



#### Intercryostat Detector (ICD)

• Objectives

LaTech UT, Arlington

- Maintain performance in presence of a magnetic field and additional material from solenoid
- Improve coverage for the region 1.1 < |η| < 1.4</li>
- Improves jet E<sub>T</sub> and **₽**<sub>T</sub>



- Design
  - Scintillator based with phototube readout similar to Run I design. Re-use existing PMT's (Hamamatsu R647).
  - 16 supertile modules per cryostat with a total of 384 scintillator tiles
  - WLS fiber readout of scintillator tiles
  - Clear fiber light piping to region of low field ~40-50% signal loss over 5-6m fiber.
  - Readout/calibration scheme for electronics same as for L. Ar. Calorimeter but with adapted electronics and pulser shapes
  - LED pulsers used for PMT calibration

Relative yields measured > 20 p.e./m.i.p.







- Liquid argon sampling
  - Stable, uniform response, rad. hard, fine spatial seg.
  - LAr purity important
- Uranium absorber (Cu or Steel for coarse hadronic)
  - Compensating  $e/\pi \sim 1$ , dense  $\Rightarrow$  compact
- Uniform, hermetic with full coverage
  - $|\eta| < 4.2 \ (\theta \approx 2^{\circ}), \ \lambda_{int} > 7.2$  (total)
- Energy Resolution
  - e:  $\sigma_{\rm E}$  / E = 15% / $\sqrt{E}$  + 0.3% (e.g. 3.7% @ 20 GeV)

 $\pi: \sigma_{\rm E} / E = 45\% / \sqrt{E} + 4\%$  (e.g. 14%)





## **DØ Calorimeters (2)**

- Arranged in semi-projective towers
- Readout cells ganged in layers
- Readout segmented into  $\eta$ ,  $\phi$  for charge detection
  - Transverse segmentation  $\Delta \eta \times \Delta \phi = 0.1 \times 0.1$
  - At shower max. (EM3)  $\Delta \eta \times \Delta \phi = 0.05 \times 0.05$
- +2.5 kV (E = 11 kV/cm) gives drift time ~ 450 ns

Layer	CC	EC					
EM1,2,3,4	X <sub>o</sub> : 2,2,7,10 3mm Ur	X <sub>o</sub> : (0.3),3,8,9 (1.4mm Fe) 4mm Ur					
FH1,2,3,(4)	λ <sub>o</sub> : 1.3,1.0,0.9 6mm Ur	λ <sub>o</sub> : 1.3,1.2,1.2,1.2 6mm Ur					
CH1,(2,3)	λ <sub>ο</sub> : 3.0	λ <sub>o</sub> : 3.0, (3.0, 3.0)					
	46.5mm Cu	46.5mm Fe	Massless Gap				
(no absorber)							
	NA A A		Ano absorber)				
Intercryo	stat	0,4 0.6 0.6					
Intercryo Detector (	stat						



Leslie Groer10CALOR2000 ConferenceColumbia UniversityDØ Calorimeter Electronics Upgrade Annecy, France Oct 2000









- Design all the electronics, triggers and DAQ to handle bunch structure with a minimum of 132ns between bunches and higher luminosity
- Maintain detector performance





#### Calorimeter Readout Electronics

#### • Objectives

- Accommodate reduced minimum bunch spacing from 3.5 μs to 396 ns or 132 ns and *L*~ 2 x 10<sup>32</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>
- Storage of analog signal for 4 μs for L1 trigger formation
- Generate trigger signals for calorimeter L1 trigger
- Maintain present level of noise performance and pile-up performance



- Replace preamplifiers
- Replace shapers
- Add analog storage
- Replace calibration system
- Replace timing and control system
- Keep Run I ADCs, crates and most cabling to minimize cost and time





#### Calorimeter Electronics Upgrade



#### **55K readout channels**

- Replace signal cables from cryostat to preamps  $(110\Omega \rightarrow 30\Omega)$  for impedance match)
- Replacement of preamps, shapers, baseline subtraction circuitry (BLS)
- Addition of analog storage (48-element deep Switched Capacitor Array (SCA))
- New Timing and Control

#### New calibration pulser + current cables

Leslie Groer13CALOR2000 ConferenceColumbia UniversityDØ Calorimeter Electronics UpgradeAnnecy, France Oct 2000



# **Preamplifier**



Columbia University **DØ Calorimeter Electronics Upgrade** Annecy, France Oct 2000



# **Preamp Species**

Preamp species	Avg. Detector cap. (nF)	Layer readout	Feedback cap (pF)	RC (ns)	Total preamps
А	0.26-0.56	EM1,2, HAD	5	0	13376
В	1.1-1.5	HAD	5	26	2240
С	1.8-2.6	HAD	5	53	11008
D	3.4-4.6	HAD	5	109	8912
E	0.36-0.44	CC EM3	10	0	9920
F	0.72-1.04	EC EM3,4	10	14	7712
G	1.3-1.7	CC EM4, EC EM3,4	10	32	3232
Ha-Hg	2- 4	EC EM3,4	10	47-110	896
I	_	ICD	22	0	384
					55680

- 14+1 (ICD) species of preamp
- Feedback provide compensation for RC from detector capacitance and cable impedance
- Readout in towers of up to 12 layers
  - 0:EM1, 1:EM2, 2-5:EM3, 6:EM4, 7-10:FH, 11:CH
- 4 towers per preamp motherboard provides trigger tower (EM+ HAD) of  $\Delta \eta \ x \ \Delta \phi = 0.2 \ x \ 0.2$

Lesi



# **BLS Card**



- Use 2 L1 SCA chips for each x1/x8 gain - alternate read/write for each superbunch
- Readout time ~ 6 µs (< length SCA buffer)
- L2 SCA buffers readout for transfer to ADC after L2 trigger decision
- No dead time for 10KHz L1 trigger rate
- Trigger tower formation (0.2 x 0.2) for L1
- Rework existing power supplies
- New T&C signals to handle SCA requirements and interface to L1/L2 trigger system( use FPGAs and FIFOs)









Leslie Groer17CALOR2000 ConferenceColumbia UniversityDØ Calorimeter Electronics UpgradeAnnecy, France Oct 2000



# Preamp signal shape

- Preamp output is integral of detector signal
  - rise time > 430ns
  - recovery time 15μs
  - To minimize the effects of pileup, only use 2/3 of the charge in the detector
- Shaped signal sampled every seven RF buckets (132ns)
   A Detector signal sampled every seven RF
  - peak at about 300ns
  - return to zero by about 1.2µs
  - Sample at 320ns
  - Mostly insensitive to 396 ns or 132 ns running
- BLS-Finite time difference is measured
  - Uses three samples earlier
  - Pile-up



400

800

1200

ns



Leslie Groer 18 CALOR2000 Conference Columbia University **DØ Calorimeter Electronics Upgrade** Annecy,France Oct 2000

0



# Noise Contributions

- Design for
  - 400ns shaping
  - lower noise 2 FET input
  - Iuminosity of 2x10<sup>32</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>
- Re-optimized three contributions
  - Electronics noise: 1 x 1.6
    - .  $\uparrow$  shaping time (2µs  $\rightarrow$  400ns) (~ $\checkmark$  t)
    - $\cdot \,\,\downarrow$  lower noise preamp (2 FET) (~ 1/ $\!\!\!/$  2)
  - Uranium noise:  $\downarrow$  x 2.3
    - $\cdot \downarrow$  shorter shaping time (~  $\checkmark$  t)
  - Pile-up noise: 1 x 1.3
    - $\uparrow$  luminosity (~  $\checkmark$  L)
    - $\cdot ~\downarrow$  shorter shaping times (~  $\checkmark$  t)

Comparable noise performance at 10<sup>32</sup> with new electronics as with old electronics at 10<sup>31</sup>

Simulations of the W mass "benchmark" confirm that pile-up will not limit our W mass at Run II.

Leslie Groer19CALOR2000 ConferenceColumbia UniversityDØ Calorimeter Electronics UpgradeAnnecy, France Oct 2000



## Estimates of Noise Contributions





#### **Electronics Calibration**

#### Goals

- Calibrate electronics to better than 1%
  - Measure pedestals due to electronics and Ur noise
  - Determine zero suppression limits
  - Determine gains (x1,x8) from pulsed channels
  - Study channel-to-channel response; linearity
- Commissioning
  - Bad channels
  - Trigger verification
  - Check channel mapping
  - Monitoring tool
- Oracle Database for storage
- Database used to download pedestals and zero-suppression limits to ADC boards



## Electronics Calibration System



# Calibration Pulser Response

- Linear response for DAC pulse height (0-65k)
- Fully saturate ADC (at DAC= 90k)



better than 0.2%

- Linearity of calibration and calorimeter electronics better than 0.2% (for DAC < 65k)
- Cross-talk in neighboring channels < 1.5%
- Uniformity of pulser modules better than 1%
- No significant noise added from the calibration system
- Correction factors need to be determined

Leslie Groer 23 CALOR2000 Conference Columbia University **DØ Calorimeter Electronics Upgrade** Annecy,France Oct 2000



# Pulser Signal Shapes

**Calorimeter Signal at Preamp Input** 



Preamp Output Shaper Output 400ns

**Calorimeter Signal after Preamp and Shaper** 

**Calibration Signal at Preamp Input** 

**Calibration Signal after Preamp and Shaper** 2 td Signal Reflection Preamp Output Signal reflection Shaper Output 400ns 400ns

- Response of calorimeter signal w.r.t. calibration signal < 1% at max. signal for variation of different parameters (cable length,  $Z_{\text{preamp}}$ ,  $Z_{\text{cable}}$ ,...)
- No test beam running  $\Rightarrow$  absolute energy scale will have to be established from the data
- Maximum response time for EM and hadronic channels differ due to different preamp types. Use delays and modeling to accommodate these
- Correct pulser response for different timings and shape
- Use initial "guess" based on Monte-Carlo sampling sights and Spice models of the electronics.

24 Leslie Groer CALOR2000 Conference Columbia University DØ Calorimeter Electronics Upgrade Annecy, France Oct 2000



#### Determining EM/Jet Energy Scale



#### We have E/p this time!

Leslie Groer25CALOR2000 ConferenceColumbia UniversityDØ Calorimeter Electronics UpgradeAnnecy, France Oct 2000



# Effect of added material



Leslie Groer26CALOR2000 ConferenceColumbia UniversityDØ Calorimeter Electronics UpgradeAnnecy, France Oct 2000



#### **Optimization of Calorimeter Response**

• Minimize  $\Sigma(E_{true} - \Sigma a_i E_i)^2$ 

- ▲ a<sub>i</sub> = layer weighting
- ▲ E<sub>i</sub> = layer Energy
- Utilizing these energy correlations improves energy uniformity and resolution by ~10%



Leslie Groer 27 CALOR2000 Conference Columbia University **DØ Calorimeter Electronics Upgrade** Annecy, France Oct 2000



# Liquid Argon Monitoring

- Each cryostat has four cells
  - <sup>241</sup>Am sources 5 MeV α, 0.1μCi
    - gives about 4 fC in Lar gap with 500Hz trigger rate
    - Check LAr response (constant to < 0.5% in Run I)
  - <sup>106</sup>Ru (< 3.5 MeV β, 1yr half-life)</li>
    - ▲ one stronger source (~10<sup>-10</sup> Ci) should give about 0.3Hz triggers (about 2 fC)
    - ▲ Check LAr purity (< 1% in Run I)
- Mainz group design (based on ATLAS)
  - Separate HV, preamplifier and trigger system
  - Preamplifier and differential driver give gain of about 50 → gives signals of about 0.1V
  - Shaping and ADC on receiver boards (FPGA)
  - On board collection and storage of histogram information
  - Extract data over CAN-bus





Conclusions

- Dzero is upgrading its detector
  - L.Argon calorimeter untouched
    - A Harder machine conditions and new environment (solenoid)
      - New Calorimeter Electronics
      - Improved ICD
      - New Central and Forward Preshower
  - Similar performance with
     20x more data
- Run II start in 6 months watch this space!!!





Leslie Groer29CALOR2000 ConferenceColumbia UniversityDØ Calorimeter Electronics UpgradeAnnecy, France Oct 2000