

Abstract

We present an analysis of pair-instability supernovae explosions as possible candidates for Gamma-Ray Bursts. Results of our analysis show that pair-instability supernovae provide necessary energy budget, timescale and peak energy of emission. Moreover a correlation between total nuclear energy release and maximum temperature was found. Basing on this correlation we propose a new physical interpretation of the Amati relation and conclude that the key parameter of Gamma-Ray burst phenomenon is the mass of the progenitor.

Gamma-Ray Bursts and Pair-Instability Supernovae

	\mathbf{GRBs}		
Timescale	1–100 seconds		
Origin	Extragalactic (cosmological) phenomenon		
Energy budget	$10^{51} - 10^{54} \text{ ergs}$		
Environment	Host galaxies of GRBs have been found regular galaxies. GRBs are concentrated gions of host galaxies with intense star form metallicity.		
Metallicity	GRB hosts are low in luminosity and abundances.		
Some (GRB-SN connection GRBs were associated with supernovae Ibc.		
Rate	The rate of GRBs is 1000–10000 times lower of core collapse supernovae.		
Environment	Long GRB and core-collapse supernovae have vironments [1].		
Metallicity	The environment of <i>every</i> broad-lined SN GRB is more metal rich than the site of a SN Ic where a GRB was detected [2]		

Original model of GRBs

All these observational facts indicate that GRBs are related to explosive processes in massive stars in specific environment with limited chemical evolution. Such event already exists in theory of stellar evolution: it is explosion of very massive star ongoing pair-instability. Recently the original model of **GRBs as pair-instability supernovae explosions** was proposed [3]. It is well-known that stars with masses between 100 M_{\odot} and 260 M_{\odot} explode without leaving a remnant. High mass of these stars provides necessary energy budget. Although the detailed theory of evolution of very massive is still an open issue, it is believed that they form in low metallicity environment.

NEW INTERPRETATION OF THE AMATI RELATION

A. Baranov and P. Chardonnet

Universite de Grenoble, LAPTH BP 110 74940 Annecy-le-Vieux Cedex France baranov@lapp.in2p3.fr, chardonnet@lapp.in2p3.fr

Main equations

$$dr/dt = v,$$

$$dv/dt = -Gm/r^2 - 4\pi r^2(dt)$$

$$dT/dt = (-4\pi \frac{\partial (r^2 v)}{\partial m} T(\partial P/\partial T)_{\rho} + \varepsilon_{\rm m}$$

$$Y_j/dt = \rho Y_k Y_l R_{kl,j} - \rho Y_j Y_l R_{jl,m} + Y_n \lambda$$





It was found that for the cores with the same mass final stage of evolution could be either collapse or explosion depending on initial central density and temperature, which define the whole initial configuration. We have investigated dependence of the fate of the cores on the initial binding energy:

$$E_b = \int_0^M (-Gm/r + E) dm.$$



$$E_b = \int_0^M (-Gm/r + E)dm.$$

We propose the mass limit for the explosion of non-rotating oxygen core at ~ 120–130 M_{\odot} .

Acknowledgements. Andrey Baranov is supported by the Erasmus Mundus Joint Doctorate Program by Grant Number 2010-1816 from the EACEA of the European Commission

to be faint iron bright remation and low low in metal er than the rate ave different en-Ic that had no any broad-lined



Summarized results of computations. mass of the core, T_{max} is the maximum ature reached in the center at the mome versal of collapse, E_{nucl} is the total nuc ergy release. In the case of total disruption star hot matter of the core could be ejec side. And energy gathered from nuclear will be emitted by electromagnetic radiat the same characteristic energies. The of transformation of the nuclear energy i sion should be high, since there are no int ate processes of transformation and redist of energy.

Assuming that the progenitor of GRB instability explosion of a very massive st natural to associate the peak energy E_p T_{max} , and the total isotropic energy Ethe nuclear energy reservoir E_{nucl} .

In our interpretation the distribution of the points on E_p-E_{iso} diagram corresponds to window of physical parameters of a massive star required for pairinstability explosion.

References

[1] A.S. Fruchter et al., *Nature* **441**, 463 (2006).

- [2] M. Modjaz et al., AJ **135**, 1136 (2008).
- [3] P. Chardonnet, V. Chechetkin, L. Titarchuk, *A&SS* **325**, 153 (2009).

E_{iso}, erg

M is the	M/M_{\odot}	T_{max}, keV	$E_{nucl}, 10^{52} \text{ ergs}$	fate
temper_	60	352	2.23	explosion
ont of ro	60	351	2.25	explosion
closr on	78			collapse
on of the	78			collapse
eted out	78	330	2.46	explosion
cteu out-	100			collapse
tion with	100			collapse
	100			collapse
eniciency nto omig	100			collapse
nto enns-	100	463	5.11	explosion
tribution	100	421	4.80	explosion
UIDUUIOII	100	371	4.12	explosion
ia poir	112			collapse
p is pail-	112			collapse
with the	112	470	5.46	explosion
$\frac{1}{7} \frac{1}{100} \frac{1}{1$	125			collapse
iso with	125			collapse
	<u></u>			

[4] D. Arnett, Supernovae and Nucleosynthesis (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1996.).